arXiv:1605.01783v1 [math.DS] 5 May 2016

On the Lagrange and Markov Dynamical Spectra for Anosov Flows in dimension 3

Sergio Augusto Romaña Ibarra

Abstract

We consider the Lagrange and the Markov dynamical spectra associated to conservative Anosov flows on a compact manifold of dimension 3. We show that for a large set of real functions and for typical Anosov flows, both the Lagrange and the Markov dynamical spectra have non-empty interior.

1 Introduction

The theory of numbers gives a mathematical object which is related with this work, is the classical *Lagrange spectrum* (cf. [CF89]), which we describe in the following: Given an irrational number α, according to Dirichlet's theorem the inequality $\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{q^2}$ has infinitely many rational solutions $\frac{p}{q}$. Markov and Hurwitz improved this result (cf. [CF89]), proving that, for all irrational α, the inequality $\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}q^2}$ has infinitely many rational solutions $\frac{p}{q}$.
Meanwhile, for a fixed irrational α better results can be expected. We associate, to each α, its best constant of approximation (Lagrange value of α), given by

$$k(\alpha) \;=\; \sup\left\{k>0 : \left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{kq^2} \text{ has infinite rational solutions } \frac{p}{q}\right\}$$

The set $L = \{k(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q} \text{ and } k(\alpha) < \infty\}$ is known as the *Lagrange spectrum*.
Many people had study the structure of this object, the principal results is due to M. Hall [Hal47] (cf. also [CF89]), he proved that $L \supset [6, +\infty)$, so the Lagrange spectrum contains a whole half-line - such a half-line is known as a *Hall's ray* of the Lagrange spectrum, also G. Freiman (cf. [Fre75] and [CF89]) determined the precise beginning of Hall's ray (the biggest half-line contained in L), which is

$$\frac{2221564096 + 283748\sqrt{462}}{491993569} \cong 4,52782956616\ldots .$$

Another interesting set related to diophantine approximations is the classical *Markov spectrum* defined by (cf. [CF89])

$$M = \left\{\inf_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus(0,0)} |f(x,y)|^{-1} : f(x,y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \text{ with } b^2 - 4ac = 1\right\}.$$

Both the Lagrange and Markov spectrum have a dynamical interpretation, that is of interest for our work. Let $\Sigma = (\mathbb{N}^*)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\sigma\colon \Sigma \to \Sigma$ the shift defined by $\sigma((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (a_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. If $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 = [a_0, a_1, \dots] + [0, a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \dots]$ (in continued fractions), then

$$L = \left\{ \limsup_{n\to\infty} f(\sigma^n(\underline{\theta})) : \underline{\theta} \in \Sigma \right\} \text{ and } M = \left\{ \sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\sigma^n(\underline{\theta})) : \underline{\theta} \in \Sigma \right\}.$$

This last interpretation, in terms of shift, admits a natural generalization of Lagrange and Markov spectrum in a most general context (hyperbolic dynamics context, which is the focus of this work).
We define the Lagrange and Markov dynamical spectrum as follows. Let $\varphi\colon M \to M$ be a diffeomorphism with $\Lambda \subset M$ a invariant set, *i.e.*, $\varphi(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. Let $f\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous real function, then the *Lagrange Dynamical Spectrum* associated to (f, Λ) is defined by

$$L(f, \Lambda) = \left\{ \limsup_{n\to\infty} f(\varphi^n(x)) : x \in \Lambda \right\},$$

and the *Markov Dynamical Spectrum* associate to (f, Λ) is defined by

$$M(f, \Lambda) = \left\{ \sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\varphi^n(x)) : x \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

In this context S. Romaña and C. Moreira (cf. [MRn13]) showed that for 2-dimensional manifold we have the following

Theorem[MRn13] *Let Λ be a horseshoe associated to a C^2-diffeomorphism φ such that $HD(\Lambda) > 1$. Then there is, arbitrarily close to φ, a diffeomorphism φ_0 and a C^2-neighborhood W of φ_0 such that, if Λ_ψ denotes the continuation of Λ associated to $\psi \in W$, there is an open and dense set $H_\psi \subset C^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that for all $f \in H_\psi$, we have*

$$int\ L(f, \Lambda_\psi) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } int\ M(f, \Lambda_\psi) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $intA$ denotes the interior of A.

Here by horseshoe we mean a compact, locally maximal, transitive hyperbolic invariant set of saddle type (and so it contains a dense subset of periodic orbits).

There is also a geometric interpretation of the classical Lagrange spectrum (cf. [CF89]). Consider the modular group, $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, that is, the set of all 2×2 integer matrices with determinant equal to one, and $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ the projectivization of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Given any $V \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, $V = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ we define the associated transformation by $V(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$. However for an irrational number α the Lagrange value of α is

$$k(\alpha) = \sup\{k : |V(\infty) - V(\alpha)|^{-1} = |q(q\alpha - p)| \leq k^{-1} \text{ for infinitely many } V \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{H}^2$ be of upper half-plane model of the real hyperbolic plane, with the Poincaré metric, and let $N := \mathbb{H}^2/PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ the modular orbifold. Let e be an end of N (cf. [HP02] and

[PP10]), define *the asymptotic height spectrum of the pair* (N, e) by

$$LimsupSp(N, e) = \left\{ \limsup_{t\to\infty} ht_e(\gamma(t)) : \gamma \in SN \right\},$$

where

$$ht_e(x) = \lim_{t\to+\infty} d(x, \Gamma(t)) - t \tag{1}$$

is the height associated to the end e of N, being Γ a ray that defines the end e, and SN denotes the unitary tangent bundle of N.
Using the latter interpretation of the Lagrange spectrum, the asymptotic height spectrum $LimsupSp(N, e)$ of the modular orbifold N is the image of the Lagrange spectrum by the map $t \to \log \frac{t}{2}$ (see for instance [[HP02], theorem 3.4]). The geometric interpretation of Freiman's result in our context is that $LimsupSp(N, e)$ contains the maximal interval $[\mu, +\infty)$ with

$$\mu = \log\left(\frac{2221564096 + 283748\sqrt{462}}{2 \cdot 491993569}\right) \approxeq 0,817095519650396598... \ .$$

Similar results were obtained by A. Haas and C. Series (cf. [HS86]) to the quotient of $\mathbb{H}^2$ by a fuchsian group of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. In the same year, Andrew Haas [Haa86] obtained results in this direction for hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. Then 11 years later, in 1997, Thomas A. Schmidt and Mark Sheigorn (cf. [SS97]) proved that Riemann surfaces have a Hall's ray in every cusp. In 2012, P. Hubert, L. Marchese and C. Ulcigrai (cf.[HMU12]) showed the existence of Hall's ray in the context of Teichmüller dynamics, more precisely for moduli surfaces. Recently, in 2014, M. Artigiani, L. Marchese, C. Ulcigrai (cf. [AMU14]) showed than Veech surfaces also have a Hall's ray.

Observe that all results mentioned above are on surfaces, which in the geometrical cases they all have negative constant curvature. Let M be a complete connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature at most -1 dimension grater than or equal to 3, and let e be an end of it; the associated *Lagrange and Markov Spectra* are defined respectively by

$$LimsupSp(M, e) = \left\{ \limsup_{t\to\infty} ht_e(\gamma(t)) : \gamma \in SM \right\}$$

and

$$MaxSp(M, e) = \left\{ \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} ht_e(\gamma(t)) : \gamma \in SM \right\},$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is the geodesic such that $\gamma(0) = \gamma \in SM$.
In this case, J. Parkkonen and F. Paulin [PP10], using purely geometric arguments showed the following theorems:

Theorem[PP10] *If M has finite volume, dimension $n \geq 3$ and e is an end of M, then $MaxSp(M, e)$ contains the interval $[4.2, +\infty]$.*

Theorem[PP10](The Ubiquity of Hall's rays) *If M has finite volume, dimension $n \geq 3$*

and e is and end of M, then $LimsupSp(M,e)$ contains the interval $[6.8,+\infty]$.

The above geometrical interpretation can be seen from the point of view of dynamics as: Let X be a complete vector field on a manifold M and consider $X^t\colon M\to M$ the flow associated to X. Let $f\colon M\to\mathbb{R}$ be a continuous real function, then the *Lagrange Dynamical Spectrum* associated to (f,X) is defined by

$$L(f,X)=\left\{\limsup_{t\to\infty} f(X^t(x)) : x\in M\right\},$$

and the *Markov Dynamical Spectrum* associate to (f,X) is defined by

$$M(f,X)=\left\{\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} f(X^t(x)) : x\in X\right\}.$$

In this context S. Romaña and C. Moreira (cf. [RnM15]) proved that if N be a complete noncompact surface N with metric g_0 such that the Gaussian curvature is bounded between two negative constants, the Gaussian volume is finite and if ϕ_0 denotes the vector field in SN (the unitary tangent bundle) defining the geodesic flow of the metric g_0. Let $\mathfrak{X}^1(SN)$ be the space of C^1 vector field. Then

Theorem[RnM15] *Arbitrarily close to ϕ_0 there is an open set $\mathcal{V}\subset\mathfrak{X}^1(SN)$ such that for any $X\in\mathcal{V}$ we have*

$$int\, M(f,X)\neq\emptyset \text{ and } int\, L(f,X)\neq\emptyset$$

for any f in a dense and C^2-open subset $\mathcal{U}_X$ of $C^2(SN,\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the above statement holds persistently: for any $Y\in\mathcal{V}$, it holds for any (f,X) in a suitable neighborhood of $\mathcal{U}_Y\times\{Y\}$ in $C^2(SN,\mathbb{R})\times\mathfrak{X}^1(SN)$.

Theorem[RnM15] *Arbitrarily close to g_0, there is an open set $\mathcal{G}$ such that, for any $g\in\mathcal{G}$, there is a dense and C^2-open subset $\mathcal{H}_g\subset C^2(S^gN,\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$int\, M(f,\phi_g)\neq\emptyset \quad and \quad int\, L(f,\phi_g)\neq\emptyset \quad for\ all \quad f\in\mathcal{H}_g,$$

where ϕ_g is the vector field defining the geodesic flow of the metric g and S^gN is the unitary tangent bundle of the metric g.

Theorem [RnM15] *Let M be as above and e an end of M. Arbitrarily close to g_0 there is an open set $\mathcal{G}$ of metrics such that for any $g\in\mathcal{G}$,*

$$int\, M(h_e^g\circ\pi,\phi_g)\neq\emptyset \quad and \quad int\, L(h_e^g\circ\pi,\phi_g)\neq\emptyset,$$

where h_e^g is the height function (see (1)) associated to e with the metric g .

Since the set of Anosov flows is an open set, then for all geodesic flow associated with a metric in $\mathcal{G}$ and for all flow associated to vector field in $\mathcal{V}$ are still Anosov flows. Moreover, the Liouville measure associated to the metric g_0 is invariant for the flow, so the this

geodesic flow is conservative. The geodesic flow has some fundamental properties that can be used to prove the last two theorems above (cf.[RnM15, section 3]), by example the stable and unstable foliations are C^1 (cf. [HP75]) and by the result of S. G. Dani (cf. [DV89] and [Dan86]) the set of point in SN whose orbit under the geodesic flow is bounded has Hausdorff dimension equal to 3. It is important to note that, in general these properties are not true for all Anosov flows. Nevertheless, in this work we used an Urbański result (cf. [Urb91]) to construct hyperbolic sets with large Hausdorff dimension for conservative Anosov flows and conservative Anosov diffeomorphism. Moreover, the main difficult in this paper is to show one separation Lemma (see Lemma 4.6) using only the C^0 stable and unstable foliations and produce small conservative perturbations of the flow such that we can obtain the property V (see subsection 7.3).

We consider a three-dimensional closed and connected C^∞ Riemannian manifold M endowed with a volume-form, if m denotes the measure associated to it that we call *Lebesgue measure*. Let $\mathfrak{X}^r_w(M)$ be the space of conservative C^r vector field, then

Theorem A. *Let ϕ be a vector field, such that ϕ^t is an conservative Anosov flow which is a suspension (modulo time scale change by a constant factor) of the a conservative Anosov diffeomorphism φ of a compact C^2 submanifold of codimension one in M. Then, arbitrarily close to φ there is an open set $\mathcal{W}$ of C^2-conservative Anosov diffeomorphims such that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{W}$ we have*

$$int\, M(f,\psi^t) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } int\, L(f,\psi^t) \neq \emptyset$$

for any f in a dense and C^2-open subset $\mathcal{U}_\psi$ of $C^2(M,\mathbb{R})$, where ψ^t is the suspension flow associated to $\psi \in \mathcal{W}$.

Theorem B. *Let ϕ be a vector field, such that ϕ^t is a conservative Anosov flow, then arbitrarily close to ϕ there is an open set $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathfrak{X}^1_w(M)$ such that for any $X \in \mathcal{W}$ we have*

$$int\, M(f,X) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } int\, L(f,X) \neq \emptyset$$

for any f in a dense and C^2-open subset $\mathcal{U}_X$ of $C^2(M,\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the above statement holds persistently: for any $Y \in \mathcal{W}$, it holds for any (f,X) in a suitable neighborhood of $\mathcal{U}_Y \times \{Y\}$ in $C^2(M,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{X}^1_w(M)$. Here $intA$ denotes the interior of A.

2 Preliminaries

The flow ϕ^t is an Anosov flow, if there exists a continuous splitting $TM = E^s \oplus \phi \oplus E^u$, invariant under the derivative of the flow $D\phi$ on TM, such that ϕ is the subbundle spanned by the direction of geodesic flow, $D\phi$ exponentially expands E^u, and $D\phi$ exponentially contracts E^s, that is, there are constants $C, c > 0$, $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$\left|D\phi^t(x)\right| \geq c\lambda^t |x| \quad \text{if} \quad x \in E^u \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\left|D\phi^t(x)\right| \leq C\lambda^{-t} |x| \quad \text{if} \quad x \in E^s \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3}$$

The subbundles E^s and E^u are known to be uniquely integrable. They are tangent to the strong stable foliation W^s and strong unstable foliation W^u. The center stable and center unstable foliations are denoted respectively by W^{cs} and W^{cu} (cf. [KH95]).

The main examples of Anosov flows are geodesic flows on unit tangent bundles of compact Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature, and suspensions of Anosov diffeomorphisms. Let $f\colon N \to N$ be an Anosov diffeomorphism of a compact manifold N (this means that there is an f-invariant splitting $TN = E^s \oplus E^u$ which satisfies conditions analogous to (1) and (2) above) and consider the flow η_t on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $\eta_t(x, s) = (x, s + t)$. The suspension of f is the flow induced by η_t on the manifold obtained from $N \times \mathbb{R}$ by making the identifications $(x, s) \sim (f(x), s + 1)$.

Denoted by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of invariant probability measure. An Anosov flow (or Anosov diffemorphism) is conservative, if there is a invariant probability measure $\tilde{m}$, which is absolutely continuous with respect to normalized Lebesgue measure.

3 Hyperbolic set with larger Hausdorff dimension

In this section we will prove that for conservative Anosov flows and conservative Anosov diffemorphisms, there exists hyperbolic set that having Hausdorff dimension close to 3 and 2 respectively. More precisely:

Lemma 3.1. *Let ϕ^t be a conservative Anosov flow, then there exists a hyperbolic set $\Lambda \subsetneq M$ such that $HD(\Lambda)$ is close to 3, where $HD(A)$ is the Hausdorff dimension of set A.*

Lemma 3.2. *If ψ is a conservative diffeomorphism on the 2-torus, then there is hyperbolic set Λ with Hausdorff dimension close 2.*

For the proof of these Lemmas, we use the following theorem.

Theorem[Urbański, [Urb91]] *If M is a compact Riemannian manifold and $\varphi\colon M \to M$ is a transitive Anosov diffeomorphism, then the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points with non dense (full) orbit under φ equals dim M. The same statement is proved for Anosov flows.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Consider $\{x_k\}$ an enumerable and dense set, then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the set $A_m^k := M \setminus B_{\frac{1}{m}}(x_k)$, where $B_{\frac{1}{m}}(x_k)$ is the ball of center x_k and radius $\frac{1}{m}$ and $\tilde{A}_m^k = \bigcap_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \phi^t(A_m^k)$. This set is compact, invariant and therefore hyperbolic set for ϕ^t. Then

Claim: If $\mathcal{ND}$ is the set of points with non-dense orbit under ϕ^t, then

$$\mathcal{ND} \subset \bigcup_{m\geq 1} \bigcup_{k} \tilde{A}_m^k.$$

Proof of Claim: Let $x \in \mathcal{ND}$, then there is an open set $U \subset M$ such that the orbit of x, $O(x)$ does not intersects U, *i.e.* $O(x) \cap U = \emptyset$. Thus, there are $m \geq 1$ and x_k such that

$B_{\frac{1}{m}}(x_k) \subset U$, therefore $\phi^t(x) \notin B_{\frac{1}{m}}(x_k)$ or $\phi^t(x) \in M \setminus B_{\frac{1}{m}}(x_k)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, this implies that $x \in \tilde{A}^k_m$. Thus we concluded the proof of claim.
The Urbański's Theorem implies that $HD(\mathcal{ND}) = 3$, then by the previous Claim,

$$3 = HD(\bigcup_{m\geq 1}\bigcup_{k}\tilde{A}^k_m) = \sup_{k,m}\tilde{A}^k_m.$$

So, there are m and k such that $HD(\tilde{A}^k_m)$ is very close to 3. □

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is similar.

4 Poincaré Map and Hyperbolicity

In this section we construct a hyperbolic set with Hausdorff dimension close to 2 for Poincaré map associated for some cross-section (relate to compact hyperbolic set Λ given in the Lemma 3.1) for the flow. The arguments are similar to [RnM15, section 3]. From now on, let us fixed the hyperbolic set given in Lemma 3.1.

Now, since our flow is a conservative flow, in particular transitive, then the following result due to J. Plante characterized the flow in terms of stable and unstable manifolds.

Theorem [Plante] *For a compact manifold, let ϕ^t be a transitive Anosov flow. Then there are exactly two possibilities:*

(i) Each strong stable and each strong unstable manifold is dense in M, or

(ii) ϕ^t is the suspension (modulo time scale change by a constant factor) of the Anosov diffeomorphism of a compact C^1 submanifold of codimension one in M.

In the second case the bundle $E^s \oplus E^u$ is integrable and if the flow is conservative then [Pla72, Theorem 3.7] implies that the flow topologically equivalent to the suspension of a linear toral automorphism. The condition *ii*) will be used for the proof of Theorem *A*.

4.1 Good Croos-Sections

By the Plante's Theorem, we can assume that the flow is not suspension, *i.e.* $E^s \oplus E^u$ is not integrable.
Let $\mathcal{F}^s$ be the strong stable foliations and $\mathcal{F}^u$ the strong unstable foliations, this is $\mathcal{F}^i(x) = W^i(x)$ for $i = s, u$, are continuous foliations of dimension one (not necessarily C^1-foliations).

Definition 1. *A C^0-surface S is transverse to the flow ϕ^t, if there are $\theta, r > 0$ such that for every $z \in S$ the cone C_z of angle θ centered in $\phi(z)$ with vertex at the point z satisfies $C_z \cap B_r(z) \cap S = \{z\}$.*

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x \in M$ and L be a C^1-embedded curve of dimension one, containing x and transverse to the foliation $\mathcal{F}^u$, then the set*

$$S_L := \bigcup_{z \in L} \mathcal{F}^s(z)$$

contains a surface S_x that is C^0-embedded, which contains x in the interior and if L is transverse to the foliation W^{cs} then, S_x is transverse to the flow.

The proof of this Lemma is similar to [RnM15, Lemma 1].
In particular, taking $L = W^u_\epsilon(x)$ with ϵ given by the stable and unstable manifolds theorem, we call $S_x := \Sigma_x$. Note that an analogous Lemma holds for the foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$.

Remark 1. *Without loss of generality, we can assume that Σ_x is homeomorphic to the square $[0,1]\times[0,1]$. Put $\Sigma_x = \Sigma$, with the horizontal lines $[0,1]\times\eta$ being mapped to stable sets $W^s(y,\Sigma_x) = W^{ss}(y)\cap\Sigma_x$. The stable-boundary $\partial^s\Sigma$ is the image of $[0,1]\times\{0,1\}$, the unstable-boundary $\partial^u\Sigma$ is the image of $\{0,1\}\times[0,1]$. Therefore, we have the following definition.*

Definition 2. *A cross sections is said* ***δ-Good Cross-Section*** *for some $\delta > 0$, if satisfies the following:*

$$d(\Lambda\cap\Sigma, \partial^u\Sigma) > \delta \text{ and } \quad d(\Lambda\cap\Sigma, \partial^s\Sigma) > \delta$$

where d is the intrinsic distance in Σ, (cf. Figure 1).
A cross-section which is δ-Good Cross-Section for some $\delta > 0$ is said a ***Good Cross-Section-GCS****.*



Figure 1: Good Cross-Section

It follows easily that

Remark 2. *Let Σ be a δ-Good Cross-Section, then given $0 < \delta' < \delta$ there is a δ'-Good Cross-Section $\Sigma' \subset int(\Sigma)$ and such that $\partial\Sigma'\cap\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$. Therefore, from now on we can assume that if two GCS has nonempty intersection, then their interiors have nonempty intersection.*

Analogously to [RnM15, Lemma 5] we have that

Lemma 4.2. *Let $x \in \Lambda$, then there is $\delta > 0$ and a δ-Good Cross-Section Σ at x.*

The δ-Good Cross-Section given in the previous Lemma it is constructed using the fact that for transitive Anosov flows and for any hyperbolic set $\Lambda \subsetneq M$, we have the property that for any $x \in \Lambda$ there are points $x^+_{s,u} \notin \Lambda$ and $x^-_{s,u} \notin \Lambda$ in distinct connected components of $W^{s,u}(x) - \{x\}$, respectively (see [RnM15, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4]). Moreover, the section $\Sigma \subset \Sigma_x$, where Σ_x is as the Lemma 4.1 which is saturated by the foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$.

Remark 3. *In the proof of Lemma 4.2 (cf. [RnM15, Lemma 5]), can be observed that there is a family of GCS $\{\Sigma^k_x\}_k$ as above ($\Sigma_x := \Sigma^0_x$), with the property that the unstable boundary of Σ^k_x is sufficiently close of $W^u_\epsilon(x) \cap \Sigma_x$, and also a family of GCS $\{\widetilde{\Sigma}^k_x\}_k$ as above ($\Sigma_x := \widetilde{\Sigma}^0_x$), with the property that the stable boundary of Σ^k_x is sufficiently close of $W^s_\epsilon(x) \cap \Sigma_x$.*

This kind of cross-sections has good properties. Before showing of the properties of these sections, remember that they are C^0 sections, so we will need one definition of C^0 transversal intersection.

Definition 3. *We say that a continuous curve $\xi \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is θ-transverse in neighborhood of radius r to 1-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, if for any $z \in \xi \cap \mathcal{F}$ there is a cone C with vertex at the point z such that $\zeta \cap B(z, r) \subset C$ and the angle $\angle(v, T_z\mathcal{F}(z)) \geq \theta$ for every tangent vector v at the point z contained in the cone C.*

As the section Σ_x is saturated by the foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$, then call h_x the homeomorphism given in Remark 1, then we have the following definition

Definition 4. *We say that a continuous curve $\zeta \subset \Sigma_x$ is transverse to foliation $\mathcal{F}^s \cap \Sigma_x$, if there are θ and r such that $h_x(\zeta)$ is θ-transverse in neighborhood of radius r to foliation and $h_x(\mathcal{F}^s \cap \Sigma_x)$.*

Proposition 1. *Given $x, y \in \Lambda$, such that there is a C^0-curve $\zeta \subset int(\Sigma_x) \cap int(\Sigma_y)$. If ζ intersects transversely to foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$, then $int(\Sigma_x) \cap int(\Sigma_y)$ is an open set of Σ_x and Σ_y.*

Proof. Since $\zeta \subset int(\Sigma_x) \cap int(\Sigma_y)$ a C^0-curve transverse to $\mathcal{F}^s$. Then for all $z \in \zeta$, there are $x' \in W^{uu}_\epsilon(x)$ and $y' \in W^{uu}_\epsilon(y)$ such that $z \in W^s(x') \cap \Sigma_x$ and $z \in W^s(y') \cap \Sigma_y$, thus $W^s(x') = W^s(y')$. Therefore, there is $\delta > 0$ such that the set

$$B = \bigcup_{z \in \zeta} W^s_\delta(z) \subset int(\Sigma_x) \cap int(\Sigma_y).$$

Thus, we concluded the proof of proposition. □

4.1.1 Separation of GCS

By Lemma 4.2, at each point of $x \in \Lambda$, we can find a Good Cross-Section Σ_x. Since Λ is a compact set, then for $\gamma > 0$, there are a finite number of points $x_i \in \Lambda$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$ such that

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \phi^{(-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2})}(int\Sigma_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \phi^{(-\gamma,\gamma)}(int\Sigma_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} U_{\Sigma_i}, \tag{4}$$

where $\Sigma_i := \Sigma_{x_i}$.
In this section we prove that the $\{\Sigma_i\}_i$ can be taken pairwise disjoint.
Note that if two sections Σ_i, Σ_j has nonempty intersection, then by Proposition 1 we have

1. $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ is totally transverse to foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$, *i.e.* for any $x \in int\,\Sigma_i \cap int\,\Sigma_j$, there is a curve $\xi_x \subset int\,\Sigma_i \cap int\,\Sigma_j$ which is transverse to $\mathcal{F}^s$, then the Proposition 1 implies that $int\,\Sigma_i \cap int\,\Sigma_j$ is an open set of Σ_i and Σ_j.

2. $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ does not intersect totally transverse to foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$, here can happen two cases:

   i) For all point $x \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ there is not a curve $\xi_x \subset int\,\Sigma_i \cap int\,\Sigma_j$ transverse to $\mathcal{F}^s$, this implies $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ does not contains open sets of Σ_i and Σ_j.

   ii) $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ contains open sets of Σ_i and Σ_j and point as in i).

Remark: *Note that, in the geodesic flow case as [RnM15],* $\pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j) = \{W_\epsilon^s(x) \cap W_\epsilon^u(x_i) \colon x \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j\}$ *is a finite set, because in this case the GCS are* C^1 *and transverse.*
The following Lemma show that if two GCS are as in 2 ii), then a small translation in the time in one of two sections makes that they are in the conditions 2 i).

Lemma 4.3. *Let* Σ_i, Σ_j *be as in (4) in the condition 2 ii), then there is* t' *small such* $\phi^{t'}(\Sigma_i)$ *and* Σ_j *are as in 2 i).*

Proof. Otherwise, if for all t small we have that $int\,(\phi^t(\Sigma_i) \cap int\,\Sigma_j)$ contains an open set of $\phi^t(\Sigma_i)$ and Σ_j, then there is a nondegenerate interval $I_t^j \in W_\epsilon^u(x_j) \subset \Sigma_j$ and a nondegenerate interval $I_t^i \subset W_\epsilon{}^u(x_i) \subset \Sigma_i$ such that the set

$$\Delta_t := \bigcup_{z \in \phi^t(I_t^i)} W_\epsilon^s(z) \cap \bigcup_{w \in I_t^i} W_\epsilon^s(w) \tag{5}$$

contains an open set of $\phi^t(\Sigma_i)$ and Σ_j.

Claim: The family of interval I_t^j are pairwise disjoint. Indeed, if there is $x \in I_t^j \cap I_{t'}^j \subset W_\epsilon^u(x_j)$ with $t \neq t'$ then by (5) there are $y \in I_t^i$ and $z \in I_{t'}^i$ such that $\phi^t(y)$, $\phi^{t'}(z) \in W_\epsilon^s(x)$. Therefore, since t, t' are small

$$\{\phi^{t'}(z)\} \subset W_{loc}^{cu}(\phi^t(y)) \cap W_\epsilon^s(\phi^t(y))$$

this last set, by the stable and unstable theorem, it is contained in the orbit of y, $\mathcal{O}(y)$. Thus if $\phi^\eta(\phi^t(y)) = \phi^{t'}(z) \in W_\epsilon^s(x)$ and $\phi^t(y) \in W_\epsilon^s(x)$, then $\eta = 0$ and $\phi^t(y) = \phi^{t'}(z)$, so as $z, y \in W_\epsilon^u(x_i)$ we have that $t = t'$ and $z = y$ which is a contradiction, so we concluded the claim.
This claim implies that the family of nondegenerate intervals $\{I_t^j\}_t$ of $W_\epsilon^u(x_j)$ is pairwise disjoint, which can not happen because ϵ is finite. □

This Lemma implies that we can assume that Σ_i and Σ_j are as in 1) and 2 i). Let's understand what happen in the case 2 i).
In the case 2 i) $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ is a family Γ_{ij} of curves γ and by construction each element $\gamma \in \Gamma_{ij}$ is a leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}^s \cap \Sigma_i$. Remember that $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{x_i}$, then consider the projection

$\Pi_i^s \colon \Sigma_i \to W_\epsilon^u(x_i)$ along to $\mathcal{F}^s$.

Claim: $\pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j) = \{W_\epsilon^s(x) \cap W_\epsilon^u(x_i) \colon x \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j\}$ is a compact set. Indeed, we need only to show that $\pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$ is a closed set. Let $x_n \in \pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$, such that $x_n \to x$, then there is $y_n \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ such that $W_\epsilon^s(y_n) \cap W_\epsilon^u(x_i) = x_n$, since $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ is compact, then we can assume that $y_{n_k} \to y \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$, moreover by continuity of foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$ we have that $W_\epsilon^s(y_{n_k}) \cap W_\epsilon^u(x_i) \to \pi_i^s(y)$ and $W_\epsilon^s(y_{n_k}) \cap W_\epsilon^u(x_i) = x_{n_k} \to x$, so $x = \pi_i^s(y) \in \pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$.

By transversality, given $\delta > 0$, then for each $x \in \pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$, we have that

$$\phi^\delta(W_\epsilon^s(x) \cap \Sigma_i) \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset,$$

and by continuity we have that there is U_x neighborhood of $W_\epsilon^s(x) \cap \Sigma_i$ in Σ_i such that

$$\phi^\delta(U_x \cap \Sigma_i) \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset, \tag{6}$$

namely, there is an interval centered in x, $I_x \subset W_\epsilon^u(x_i)$ such that $U_x = \bigcup_{z \in I_x} W_\epsilon^s(z) \cap \Sigma_i$. If $\mathcal{F}^s(x) \cap \Sigma_i \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ for some $x \in \pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$, then since Λ is a compact set there is an open set V_x containing $\mathcal{F}^s(x) \cap \Sigma_i$ and $V_x \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$. Therefore, Σ_i is subdivided into two GCS Σ_i^1 and Σ_i^2, such that Σ_i^r and Σ_j intersect as 2 i) for $r = 1, 2$.
The above implies that, without loss of generality, we can assume that for any $x \in \pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$ there is $p_x \in \mathcal{F}^s(x) \cap \Sigma_i \cap \Lambda$.

Lemma 4.4. *If Σ_i and Σ_j are two GCS as in the condition 2i). Given $\delta > 0$, $0 < \delta < \frac{\gamma}{2}$ with γ as in (4) for $x \in \pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$, there is a GCS $\widetilde{\Sigma}_x \subset U_x \cap \Sigma_i$ containing $\mathcal{F}^s(x) \cap \Sigma_i$, such that Σ_i is subdivided into three GCS disjoint, including $\widetilde{\Sigma}_x$. Denoted by $\Sigma_i^\#$ the set of complementary sections in the subdivision above of Σ_i, then*

1. $\phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_x) \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset$.
2. $\Lambda \cap \phi^{(-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2})}(int(\Sigma_i)) \subset \Lambda \cap \left(\phi^\delta(int(\widetilde{\Sigma}_x)) \cup \bigcup_{\Sigma \in \Sigma_i^\#} \phi^{(-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2})}(int(\Sigma))\right)$.

Proof. We can assume that for any $x \in \pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$ there is $p_x \in \mathcal{F}^s(x) \cap \Sigma_i \cap \Lambda$. Consider $\mathcal{F}_{loc}^u(p_x)$, then by Remark 3 we can find open sets $V_{p_x}^+$ and $V_{p_x}^-$ in each side of $\mathcal{F}_{loc}^u(p_x) \setminus \{p_x\}$ sufficiently close to $\mathcal{F}_{loc}^s(p_x)$ and diameter sufficiently large with $V_{p_x}^\pm \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$. Denoted by $\widetilde{V}_{p_x}^\pm$ the projection by the flow of $V_{p_x}^\pm$ over Σ_i, respectively. Therefore, by Remark 3 we can take $\widetilde{V}_{p_x}^\pm$ such that $\widetilde{V}_{p_x}^\pm \cap \Sigma_i \subset U_x$ and $\widetilde{V}_{p_x}^\pm$ crosses Σ_i. Using $\widetilde{V}_{p_x}^\pm$ we can construct the GCS $\widetilde{\Sigma}_x$ such that $\widetilde{\Sigma}_x \subset U_x$ and by (6) satisfies 1).
To prove item 2) note simply that $\delta < \frac{\gamma}{2}$ and $\Lambda \cap \phi^{(-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2})}(int(\Sigma_i)) = \phi^{(-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2})}(\Lambda \cap int(\Sigma_i))$, which is a consequence of Λ be invariant by the flow. □

As the GCS $\widetilde{\Sigma}_x$ obtained in this Lemma is contained in $U_x \cap \Sigma_i$, then there is an interval centered in x, $\widetilde{I}_x \subset I_x \subset W_\epsilon^u(x_i)$ such that

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_x = \bigcup_{z \in \widetilde{I}_x} W_\epsilon^s(z) \cap \Sigma_i.$$

Now $\pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j) \subset \bigcup \widetilde{I}_x$ and by last claim, we have that there is a finite set of points in $\pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j)$ let's say $\{x^1, \dots, x^m\}$ such that

$$\pi_i^s(\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j) \subset \bigcup_{r=1}^{m} \widetilde{I}_{x^r}.$$

Thus by first part of Lemma 4.4 hold that

$$\phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r}) \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1, \dots, m \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r}) \cap \phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^{r'}}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad r \neq r'.$$

In other words, we have the following Lemma, which is similar to [RnM15, Lemma 8]

Lemma 4.5. *If Σ_i and Σ_j are two GCS as in the condition 2i). Given $0 < \delta < \frac{\gamma}{2}$ with γ as in (4) there are GCS $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r} \subset U_{x^r}$ containing $\mathcal{F}^{ss}(x^r) \cap \Sigma_x$, such that Σ_i is subdivided into $2m+1$ GCS disjoint, including $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r}$ for $r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Denoted by $\Sigma_i^\#$ the complement of the set $\{\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r}\}_{r=1}^m$ in the subdivision above of Σ_i, then*

1. *$\phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r}) \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset$ for all $r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\Sigma' \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ for any $\Sigma \in \Sigma_x^\#$.*
2. *$\phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r}) \cap \phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^{r'}}) = \emptyset$ for $r \neq r'$ and $\phi^\delta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r}) \cap \Sigma_i = \emptyset$ for all $r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.*
3. *$\Lambda \cap \phi^{(-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2})}(int(\Sigma_i)) \subset \Lambda \cap \left(\bigcup_{r=1}^m \phi^{(-\gamma,\gamma)} \left(\phi^\delta(int(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{x^r})) \right) \cup \bigcup_{\Sigma \in \Sigma_i^\#} \phi^{(-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2})}(int(\Sigma)) \right)$.*

This last Lemma together with the proof of [RnM15, Lemma 7, Lemma 9 Lemma 10] we have that

Lemma 4.6. *There are GCS $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i$ such that*

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(l)} \phi^{(-2\gamma, 2\gamma)}(int(\widetilde{\Sigma}_i)) \tag{7}$$

with $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}_j = \emptyset$.

The sections $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i$ given in previous Lemma are as the Lemma 4.2, that is, they are C^0-GCS and saturated by the foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$. Since C^∞ is dense in C^0, we can assume without loss of generality, that there are sections Σ_i than are C^∞-GCS and (7) is satisfied.

4.2 Hyperbolicity of Poincaré Maps

Let $\Xi = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(l)} \Sigma_i$ be finite union of cross-sections to the flow ϕ^t without loss of generality, assume that $m(l) = l$ and since the sections are pairwise disjoint, then sometimes we consider $\Xi = \{\Sigma_1, \cdots, \Sigma_l\}$. Let $\mathcal{R} \colon \Xi \to \Xi$ be a Poincaré map or the map of first return to Ξ, $\mathcal{R}(y) = \phi^{t_+(y)}(y)$, where $t_+(y)$ correspond to the first time that the orbits of $y \in \Xi$ encounter Ξ.

The splitting $E^s \oplus \phi \oplus E^u$ over neighborhood U_0 of Λ defines a continuous splitting $E^s_\Sigma \oplus E^u_\Sigma$ of the tangent bundle $T\Sigma$ with $\Sigma \in \Xi$, defined by

$$E^s_\Sigma(y) = E^{cs}_y \cap T_y\Sigma \text{ and } E^u_\Sigma(y) = E^{cu}_y \cap T_y\Sigma \tag{8}$$

where $E^{cs}_y = E^s_y \oplus \langle \phi(y) \rangle$ and $E^{cu}_y = E^u_y \oplus \langle \phi(y) \rangle$.

Analogously as in [RnM15, Proposition 1], we show that since Σ_i is GCS for all i, then for a sufficiently large iterated of $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}^n$, then (8) define a hyperbolic splitting for transformation $\mathcal{R}^n$, at least restricted to Λ and since $\Lambda \cap \Xi$ is invariant for $\mathcal{R}$, then $\Lambda \cap \Xi$ is hyperbolic for $\mathcal{R}$, and

$$\Lambda \cap \Xi \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}^{-n}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_i).$$

The Lemma 13 and Lemma 14 in [RnM15] implies that

$$HD\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}^{-n}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_i)\right) \geq HD\left(\Lambda \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_i)\right) \geq HD(\Lambda) - 1$$

and thus by Lemma 3.1 $HD(\Lambda \cap \Xi)$ is close to 2.

5 Proof of Theorem A and Theorem B

Let $\varphi \colon N \to N$ be the C^2-conservative Anosov diffeomorphim by which ϕ^t is suspension (modulo time scale change by constant factor), then by Lemma 3.2 there is a hyperbolic set Λ for φ with $HD(\Lambda)$ close to 2. Given $F \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, we can define the function $maxF_\phi \colon N \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$maxF_\phi(x) := \max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} F(\phi^t(x)).$$

Note that this definition depends on the flow ϕ^t, or equivalently the vector field ϕ. Note also that $maxF_\phi$ is always a continuous function, but even if F is C^∞, $maxF_\phi$ can be only a continuous function. The Lemma 18 in [RnM15]) gives some "differentiability" to $maxF_\phi$ at least for $F \in C^2(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a dense $\mathcal{B}_\phi \subset C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ and C^2-open such that given $\beta > 0$, then for any $F \in \mathcal{B}_\phi$ there are sub-horseshoe $\Lambda^{s,u}_F$ of Λ with $HD(K^s_F) \geq HD(K^s) - \beta$, $HD(K^u_F) \geq HD(K^u) - \beta$ and a Markov partition $R^{s,u}_F$ of $\Lambda^{s,u}_F$, respectively, such that the function $maxF_\phi|_{N \cap R^{s,u}_F} \in C^1(N \cap R^{s,u}_F, \mathbb{R})$, where $K^{s,u}_F$, $K^{s,u}$ are the stable and unstable Cantor set, respectively.*

Remark 4. *Observe that if g is C^2-close to φ and ϕ_g is the suspension flow for g, then hold that*

$$maxF_{\phi_g}|_{N \cap R^{s,u}_F} \in C^1(N \cap R^{s,u}_F, \mathbb{R}). \tag{9}$$

5.1 Proof of Theorem A

The proof of Theorem A is a consequence of Lemma 3.2 and [MRn13, Main Theorem].

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\varphi \colon N \to N$ be the C^2-conservative Anosov diffeomorphim by which ϕ^t is suspension (modulo time scale change by constant factor), then by Lemma 3.2 there is a hyperbolic set Λ for φ with $HD(\Lambda)$ close to 2, then by [MRn13, Main Theorem] we have that there is arbitrarily close to φ, neighborhood $\mathcal{W} \subset Diff^2_c(N)$ (the

space of C^2-conservative diffeomorphism on N) [1] such that if Λ_g denotes the continuation of Λ associated to $g \in \mathcal{W}$, (g is still Anosov diffemorphism), then there is an open and dense set $H_g \subset C^1(N, \mathbb{R})$ such that for all $h \in H_g$, we have

$$intL(h, \Lambda_g) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } intM(h, \Lambda_g) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $L(h, \Lambda_g)$ e $M(h, \Lambda_g)$ are the Lagrange and Markov dynamical spectrum respectively (see section 1).
For $g \in \mathcal{W}$, we denote by ϕ_g the vector field associated to the suspension flow of g. Let B_{ϕ_g} be as in the Lemma 5.1 and let $F \in B_{\phi_g}$ then using local coordinates with respect to the vector field ϕ_g, we can find $j \in C^2(N, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$maxF_{\phi_g}|_{N\cap(R^s_F \cup R^u_F)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) + j(x_1, x_2) \in H_g. \tag{10}$$

Put $h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = F(x_1, x_2, x_3) + j(x_1, x_2)$, then $maxh_{\phi_g} = maxF_{\phi_g} + j \in H_g$. Therefore, since $M(maxh_{\phi_g}, \Lambda_g) = \left\{\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} maxh_{\phi_g}(g^n(x)) : x \in \Lambda_g\right\} \subset M(h, \phi_g)$. So,

$$intM(h, \phi_g) \neq \emptyset.$$

Analogously, $L(maxh_{\phi_g}, \Lambda_g) \subset L(h, \phi_g)$, therefore $intL(h, \phi_g) \neq \emptyset$. □

6 Proof of Theorem B

To prove the Theorem B use the results of section 4 and [MRn13] and a construction for obtain the property V (cf. section 7.3 and [RnM15, subsection 4.2]).

In the section 4 it was proven that there are a finite number of C^∞-GCS, Σ_i pairwise disjoint and such that the Poincaré map $\mathcal{R}$ (map of first return) of $\Xi := \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_i$

$$\mathcal{R}\colon \Xi \to \Xi$$

satisfies:

- $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}^{-n}(\Xi) := \Delta$ is hyperbolic set for $\mathcal{R}$.
- $HD(\Delta) \sim 2$.

Now let's construct a family of perturbations of ϕ, such that the perturbation produced in $\mathcal{R}$ has the desired property V.

Remark 5. *Given a vector field X close to ϕ, then the flow of X still defines a Poincaré map $\mathcal{R}_X$ defined in the same cross-section where is defined $\mathcal{R}$.*

[1] The perturbation in [MRn13, Main Theorem] can be make conservative cf. [MY10, section 4.3].

6.0.1 Family of Perturbations

The central goal in this section is to do a small conservative perturbation of ϕ with good properties.

Lemma 6.1. *Given $\mathcal{V}$ a C^r-neighborhood of ϕ and $p \in \Delta$, suppose that $p \in \Sigma$, with $\Sigma = \Sigma_i$ for some i and U a neighborhood of $\phi^{\frac{t_+(p)}{2}}(p)$, there exists conservative vector field $X \in \mathcal{V}$ such that:*

1. *$X \equiv \phi$ outside of U.*
2. *There is $\tau > 0$ such that $X \equiv \phi$ outside of a subset of U of the form $X^{[0,\tau]}(\Sigma_0) = \{X^t(x) : x \in \Sigma_0,\, 0 < t < \tau\}$, where Σ_0 is a neighborhood of $\phi^{\frac{t_+(p)}{2}}(p)$ in $\phi^{\frac{t_+(p)}{2}}(\Sigma)$.*
3. *If $\mathcal{R}_X$ is the Poincaré associate to X over Ξ, then $\mathcal{R}_X(p) \neq \mathcal{R}(p)$.*

The proof of this lemma is a immediate consequence of Lemmas below. The first is about conservative trivialization and the second is about local conservative perturbations.

Lemma 6.2. *[Bes07, Lemma 3.4 (Conservative flowbox theorem)] Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r_\omega(M)$, p be a regular point of the vector field and Σ a transversal section to X which contains p, then there exists a C^∞-coordinate system $\alpha\colon U \subset M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\alpha(p) = 0$ and such that*

1. *$\alpha_* X = (0, 0, 1)$.*
2. *$\alpha_* \omega = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$.*
3. *$\alpha(U \cap \Sigma) \subset \{z = 0\}$.*

Let $B_\delta(x, y) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the open ball of center (x, y) and ratio δ. Similarly $\overline{B}_\delta(x, y)$ denotes the closed ball. If C is the cylinder $\partial B_\delta(x, y) \times [0, h] \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $0 < \beta < \delta$, we define of neighborhood of C as

$$A_\beta(C) = \big(B_{\delta+\beta}(x, y) \setminus \overline{B}_{\delta-\beta}(x, y)\big) \times [0, h] \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$$

and call it *cylinder ring* with center at C and radius β.

Lemma 6.3. *[CO15, Lemma 4.1] Let $X\colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the constant vector field defined by $X(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 1)$. Consider the cylinder $C = \partial B_\delta(0, 0) \times [0, h] \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $\delta > 0$, $h > 0$, and points $p \in \partial B_\delta(0, 0) \times \{0\}$ and $q \in \partial B_\delta(0, 0) \times \{h\}$. Let θ be the angle between the vector $p - (0, 0, 0)$ and $q - (0, 0, h)$. Given $0 < \beta < \delta$ there exists a C^∞ vector field Z on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the following properties*

1. *Z preserves the canonical volume form $dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$;*
2. *$Z \equiv X$ outside the cylinder ring $A_\beta(C)$;*
3. *The positive orbit of p, with respect to Z, contains q;*
4. *Given $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, if $|\theta|$ is small enough, then $\|Z - X\|_r < \epsilon$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the C^r norm on the set of C^r vector fields.*



Figure 2: The motion of the orbit

With the help of these last lemmas we can make the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. By Lemma 6.2 we can consider a coordinates systems $\alpha\colon U' \to V$ in a neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of $\phi^{\frac{t_+(p)}{2}}(p)$, with $\alpha(p) = (0,0,0)$, $\alpha_*\phi = (0,0,1)$, $\alpha_*\omega = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ and $\alpha(\phi^{\frac{t_+(p)}{2}}(\Sigma) \cap U') \subset \{z = 0\}$. Let $\beta, \delta > 0$, $0 < h < 1$ and $q \in \{z = 0\}$ such that the solid cylinder $\overline{B}_{\beta+\delta}(q) \times [0,h] \subset V$ and $(0,0) \in \partial B_\delta(q)$.
Consider now the cylinder ring $A_\beta(C)$ defined by β, δ, h and q. Let θ be a small angle, and let $q' \in \partial B_\delta(q) \times \{h\}$ such that the angle between $(0,0,0) - q$ and $q' - (q,h)$ is equal to θ. Now we may apply the perturbation Lemma 6.3 at the cylinder $C = \partial B_\delta(q) \times [0,h]$ to join 0 to q' and obtain a vector field Z on V such that:

a. Z preserves the canonical volume form;

b. $Z \equiv (0,0,1)$ in $V \setminus A_\beta(C)$;

c. The positive orbit of 0, with respect to Z, contains q'.

Let us define the vector field X in M in the following way: $X \equiv \phi$ outside of U' and $X = \alpha_*(Z)$ in U'. Note that X is C^r, satisfies item 1, and taking θ sufficiently small, we may assume $X \in \mathcal{V}$.
In order to prove item 2, we consider $\Pi_0 \subset V \cap \{z = 0\}$ a compact neighborhood of the origin contained in $\alpha(\phi^{\frac{t_+(p)}{2}}(\Sigma) \cap U'))$. Then just take $\Sigma_0 = \alpha^{-1}(\Pi_0)$ and $\tau = \sup\{t > 0 : \alpha(X^t(x)) \in \Pi_0 \times [0,h], x \in \Sigma_0\}$. The item 3 is an immediate consequence of item b) and c) above. □

The proof of Lemma 6.1, implies that para $p \in \Delta$ and for every small θ there is $X^p_\theta \in \mathfrak{X}^r_\omega(M)$ such that the poincaré map $\mathcal{R}_{X^p_\theta}$ associated to X^p_θ satisfies $\mathcal{R}_{X^p_\theta}(p) \neq \mathcal{R}(p)$.
In particular, if $p \in \Sigma \cap \Delta$, then

$$\mathcal{R}_{X^p_\theta}(W^s{}_{loc,\mathcal{R}}(q,\Sigma)) \cap \mathcal{R}(W^s{}_{loc,\mathcal{R}}(q,\Sigma)) = \emptyset, \tag{11}$$

for $q \in \Sigma \cap \Delta$ close to p, where $W^s_{loc,\mathcal{R}}(q,\Sigma)$ is the local stable manifold associated to $\mathcal{R}$. Note that if $\theta = 0$, then $X_\theta = \phi$.

As Δ is a compact hyperbolic set, then there are a finite number of point in Δ, let's say $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ and U_i neighborhood of $\phi^{\frac{t_+(p_i)}{2}}$ as Lemma 6.1 pairwise disjoint respectively, such that the projection over Ξ along the flow ϕ^t of $\bigcup_i^n U_i$ contains a small Markov partition of Δ.
So, we can define the C^r vector field $X_\theta \in \mathfrak{X}^r_\omega(M)$ by

$$X_\theta = \begin{cases} X_\theta^{p_i} & \text{if } x \in U_i; \\ \\ \phi & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

As θ is small, then of flow of X_θ is still a conservative Anosov.
Consider now the map $\Phi^\theta(x) := \mathcal{R}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}_{X_\theta}(x)$ defined in a small Markov partition of Δ. Then by equation (11), the map $\mathcal{R}_\theta := \mathcal{R} \circ \Phi^\theta$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_\theta(W^s{}_{loc,\mathcal{R}}(q, \Sigma)) \cap \mathcal{R}(W^s{}_{loc,\mathcal{R}}(q, \Sigma)) = \emptyset. \tag{12}$$

Remark 6. *Then by equation* (12) *the perturbation of $\mathcal{R}$ given by $\mathcal{R}^\theta$ satisfies the condition on the family of perturbation to get the property V (cf. section 7.3 and [RnM15, subsection 4.2]).*

6.1 Regaining the Spectrum

Given $F \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, we can define the function $maxF_\phi \colon \Xi \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$maxF_\phi(x) := \max_{t_-(x) \le t \le t_+(x)} F(\phi^t(x))$$

where $t_-(x), t_+(x)$ are such that $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(x) = \phi^{t_-(x)}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}(x) = \phi^{t_+(x)}(x)$.

Note that this definition depends on the flow ϕ^t, or equivalently the vector field ϕ. Note also that $maxF_\phi$ is always a continuous function, but even if F is C^∞, $maxF_\phi$ can be only a continuous function. In what follows we give some "differentiability" to $maxF_\phi$ at least for $F \in C^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, similar to Lemma 5.1, we have:

Lemma 6.4. *There exists a dense $\mathcal{B}_\phi \subset C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ and C^2-open such that given $\beta > 0$, then for any $F \in \mathcal{B}_\phi$ there are sub-horseshoe $\Delta_F^{s,u}$ of Δ with $HD(K_F^s) \ge HD(K^s) - \beta$, $HD(K_F^u) \ge HD(K^u) - \beta$ and a Markov partition $R_F^{s,u}$ of $\Delta_F^{s,u}$, respectively, such that the function $maxF_\phi|_{\Xi \cap R_F^{s,u}} \in C^1(\Xi \cap R_F^{s,u}, \mathbb{R})$, where K_F^s, K^s are regular Cantor sets that describe the geometry transverse of the unstable of $W^u(\Delta_F^s)$, $W^u(\Delta)$ respectively, and K_F^u, K^u are regular Cantor sets that describe the geometry transverse of the stable foliations of $W^s(\Delta_F^u)$, $W^s(\Delta)$ respectively (cf. subsection 7.2).*

The proof of Lemma 6.4 can be found in [RnM15, Lemma 18].

Remark 7. *Let $x \in int(\Sigma)$ with $\Sigma \in \Xi$ such that $\mathcal{R}(x) = \phi^{t_+(x)}(x) \in int(\Xi)$, by The Large Tubular Flow Theorem, there exists a neihgborhood $U_x \subset \Sigma$ of x, a diffeomorphism $\varphi \colon U_x \times (-\epsilon, t_+(x) + \epsilon) \to \varphi(U_x \times (-\epsilon, t_+(x) + \epsilon)) \subset M$ such that $D\varphi_{(z,t)}(0, 0, 1) = \phi(\varphi(z, t))$ for $(z, t) \in U_x \times (-\epsilon, t_+(x) + \epsilon)$. Moreover, as the elements of the Markov partition are*

disjoint, has small diameter and Δ is compact, then, we can suppose that there is a finite number an open set U_{x_i} such that $U_{x_i} \cap U_{x_j} = \emptyset$ and $\Delta \subset \bigcup U_{x_i}$ for some $x_i \in \Delta$. Denote $\varphi_i \colon U_{x_i} \times (-\epsilon, t_+(x_i) + \epsilon) \to \varphi_i\left(U_{x_i} \times (-\epsilon, t_+(x_i) + \epsilon)\right) \subset M$ such that $(D\varphi_i)_{(z,t)}(0,0,1) = \phi(\varphi_i(z,t))$.

Keeping the notation of the previous Lemma we have:

Corollary 1. *The above property is robust in the following sense: If X is a vector field C^1-close to ϕ, then $\mathcal{B}_\phi = \mathcal{B}_X$ and for any $F \in \mathcal{B}_X$, holds that $maxF_X \in C^1(\Xi \cap R_F^{s,u}, \mathbb{R})$.*

In the proof of Theorem B, we will use the following proposition found in ([MY10, pg. 21]).

Proposition 2. *Let Λ be a horseshoe and let $L \subset \Lambda$ an invariant proper subset of Λ. Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a sub-horseshoe $\widetilde{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ such that $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cap L = \emptyset$ and*

$$HD(\widetilde{K}) \geq HD(K) - \epsilon,$$

where, K, $\widetilde{K}$ are of regular cantor set that describe the geometry transverse of the stable foliation $W^s(\Lambda)$, $W^s(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, respectively (cf. subsection 7.2).

Proof of Theorem B. Let $F \in \mathcal{B}_\phi$, $\Delta_F^{s,u}$ and $R_F^{s,u}$ as in Lemma 6.4 with

$$HD(K_F^{s,u}) \geq HD(K^{s,u}) - \beta.$$

Put $L = \Delta_F^s \cap \Delta_F^u \subset \Delta_F^s$ a $\mathcal{R}$-invariant set, then by Proposition 2 (applied to $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$), there is a sub-horseshoe ${}_1\Delta_F^s$ of Δ_F^s such that ${}_1\Delta_F^s \cap L = \emptyset$, thus ${}_1\Delta_F^s \cap \Delta_F^u = \emptyset$. Moreover,

$$HD({}_1K_F^s) \geq HD(K_F^s) - \beta \geq HD(K^s) - 2\beta,$$

where ${}_1K_F^s$ is of regular cantor set that describe the geometry transverse of the unstable foliation $W^u({}_1\Delta_F^s)$. Define the sub-horseshoe Δ_F of Δ by $\Delta_F := {}_1\Delta_F^s \cup \Delta_F^u$. Since ${}_1\Delta_F^s$ and Δ_F^u are disjoint, we used the notation $\Delta_F := ({}_1\Delta_F^s, \Delta_F^u)$, put $R_F := R_F^s \cup R_F^u$ and consider the open and dense set, as in [MRn13, Theorem 1]

$$H_1(\mathcal{R}, \Delta_F) = \left\{ f \in C^1(\Xi \cap R_F, \mathbb{R}) : \#M_f(\Delta_F) = 1 \ \ for \ \ z \in M_f(\Delta_F),\ D\mathcal{R}_z(e_z^{s,u}) \neq 0 \right\},$$

where $e_z^{s,u}$ are unit vectors in $E_\Xi^{s,u}(z)$ respectively.
Let $f \in H_1(\mathcal{R}, \Delta_F)$, then there is a unique $z_f \in M_f(\Delta_F)$. Since ${}_1\Delta_F^s \cap \Delta_F^u = \emptyset$, we can suppose that $z_f \in {}_1\Delta_F^s$. Thus as in [MRn13, section 4], let ${}_1\widetilde{\Delta}_F^s$ sub-horseshoe of ${}_1\Delta_F^s$ such that $HD({}_1\widetilde{\Delta}_F^s)$ is close to $HD({}_1\Delta_F^s)$ and $z_f \notin {}_1\widetilde{\Delta}_F^s$, then put

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_F = ({}_1\widetilde{\Delta}_F^s, \Delta_F^u).$$

Moreover, since $HD({}_1\widetilde{K}_F^s)$ is close to $HD({}_1K_F^s)$, $HD(K^s) + HD(K^u) = HD(\Delta) \sim 2$ and β is small, then

$$HD({}_1\widetilde{K}_F^s) + HD(K_F^u) > 1,$$

where ${}_1\widetilde{K}^s_F$ is the regular Cantor set that describe the geometry transverse of the unstable foliation $W^u({}_1\widetilde{\Delta}^s_F)$ (cf. subsection 7.2).

Hence, by [MY1] it is sufficient perturb ${}_1\widetilde{\Delta}^s_F$ as in [RnM15, subsection 4.2] to obtain property V (cf. subsection 7.3). Then by Remark 6, there is a small θ, such that the pair $(\mathcal{R}^\theta, \widetilde{\Delta}^\theta_F)$ has the property V (see definition 5) where $\widetilde{\Delta}^\theta_F = ({}_1\widetilde{\Delta}_\theta, \Delta^u_F)$ and ${}_1\widetilde{\Delta}_\theta$ is the continuation of the hyperbolic set ${}_1\widetilde{\Delta}^s_F$ for $\mathcal{R}^\theta$, so by [MRn13, Main Theorem] we have that

$$int\, M(f, \widetilde{\Delta}^\theta_F) \neq \emptyset \;\text{ and }\; int\, L(f, \widetilde{\Delta}^\theta_F) \neq \emptyset,$$

for any $f \in H_1(\mathcal{R}, \Delta_F)$. Now by Corollary 1 the function $maxF_{X_\theta}|_{\Xi\cap(R^s_F\cup R^u_F)}$ is C^1, using local coordinates as in Remark 7 respect to the field X_θ, we can find $g \in C^2(\Xi, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$maxF_{X_\theta}|_{\Xi\cap(R^s_F\cup R^u_F)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) + g(x_1, x_2) \in H_1(\mathcal{R}, \Delta_F). \tag{13}$$

Put $h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = F(x_1, x_2, x_3) + g(x_1, x_2)$, then $maxh_{X_\theta} = maxF_{X_\theta} + g \in H_1(\mathcal{R}, \Delta_F)$. Therefore, since $M(maxh_{X_\theta}, \widetilde{\Delta}^\theta_F) = \left\{\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h(\mathcal{R}^n_\theta(x)) : x \in \widetilde{\Delta}^\theta_F\right\} \subset M(h, X_\theta)$. So,

$$intM(h, X_\theta) \neq \emptyset.$$

Analogously, $L(maxh_{X_\theta}, \widetilde{\Delta}^\theta_F) \subset L(h, X_\theta)$, therefore $int\, L(h, X_\theta) \neq \emptyset$. □

7 Appendix

7.1 Regular Cantor Sets

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a finite alphabet, $\mathbb{B}$ a subset of $\mathbb{A}^2$, and $\Sigma_\mathbb{B}$ the subshift of finite type of $\mathbb{A}^\mathbb{Z}$ with allowed transitions $\mathbb{B}$. We will always assume that $\Sigma_\mathbb{B}$ is topologically mixing, and that every letter in $\mathbb{A}$ occurs in $\Sigma_\mathbb{B}$.

An *expansive map of type* $\Sigma_\mathbb{B}$ is a map g with the following properties:

(i) the domain of g is a disjoint union $\bigcup_\mathbb{B} I(a,b)$. Where for each (a,b), $I(a,b)$ is a compact subinterval of $I(a) := [0,1] \times \{a\}$;

(ii) for each $(a,b) \in \mathbb{B}$, the restriction of g to $I(a,b)$ is a smooth diffeomorphism onto $I(b)$ satisfying $|Dg(t)| > 1$ for all t.

The *regular Cantor set* associated to g is the maximal invariant set

$$K = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} g^{-n}\Big(\bigcup_\mathbb{B} I(a,b)\Big).$$

Let $\Sigma^+_\mathbb{B}$ be the unilateral subshift associated to $\Sigma_\mathbb{B}$. There exists a unique homeomorphism $h\colon \Sigma^+_\mathbb{B} \to K$ such that

$$h(\underline{a}) \in I(a_0), \text{ for } \underline{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots) \in \Sigma^+_\mathbb{B} \;\; and \;\; h \circ \sigma = g \circ h,$$

where $\sigma^+\colon \Sigma^+_\mathbb{B} \to \Sigma^+_\mathbb{B}$, is defined as follows $\sigma^+((a_n)_{n\geq 0}) = (a_{n+1})_{n\geq 0}$.

7.2 Expanding Maps Associated to a Horseshoe

Let Λ be a horseshoe associate a C^2-diffeomorphism φ on the a surface M and consider a finite collection $(R_a)_{a\in\mathbb{A}}$ of disjoint rectangles of M, which are a Markov partition of Λ. Put the sets

$$W^s(\Lambda, R) = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} \varphi^{-n}(\bigcup_{a\in\mathbb{A}} R_a),$$

$$W^u(\Lambda, R) = \bigcap_{n\leq 0} \varphi^{-n}(\bigcup_{a\in\mathbb{A}} R_a).$$

There is a $r > 1$ and a collection of C^r-submersions $(\pi_a : R_a \to I(a))_{a\in\mathbb{A}}$, satisfying the following property:

If $z, z' \in R_{a_0} \cap \varphi^{-1}(R_{a_1})$ and $\pi_{a_0}(z) = \pi_{a_0}(z')$, then we have

$$\pi_{a_1}(\varphi(z)) = \pi_{a_1}(\varphi(z')).$$

In particular, the connected components of $W^s(\Lambda, R) \cap R_a$ are the level lines of π_a. Then we define a mapping g^u of class C^r (expansive of type $\Sigma_{\mathbb{B}}$) by the formula

$$g^u(\pi_{a_0}(z)) = \pi_{a_1}(\varphi(z))$$

for $(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{B}$, $z \in R_{a_0} \cap \varphi^{-1}(R_{a_1})$. The regular Cantor set K^u defined by g^u, describes the geometry transverse of the stable foliation $W^s(\Lambda, R)$. Analogously, we can describe the geometry transverse of the unstable foliation $W^u(\Lambda, R)$, using a regular Cantor set K^s define by a mapping g^s of class C^r (expansive of type $\Sigma_{\mathbb{B}}$).

Also, the horseshoe Λ is locally the product of two regular Cantor sets K^s and K^u. So, the Hausdorff dimension of Λ, $HD(\Lambda)$ is equal to $HD(K^s \times K^u)$, but for regular Cantor sets, we have that $HD(K^s \times K^u) = HD(K^s) + HD(K^u)$. Thus $HD(\Lambda) = HD(K^s) + HD(K^u)$ (cf. [PT93, chap 4]).

7.3 Intersections of Regular Cantor Sets and Property V

Let r be a real number > 1, or $r = +\infty$. The space of C^r expansive maps of type Σ (cf. section 7.1), endowed with the C^r topology, will be denoted by Ω^r_Σ. The union $\Omega_\Sigma = \bigcup_{r>1} \Omega^r_\Sigma$ is endowed with the inductive limit topology.

Let $\Sigma^- = \{(\theta_n)_{n\leq 0}, (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{B} \text{ for } i < 0\}$. We equip Σ^- with the following ultrametric distance: for $\underline{\theta} \neq \underline{\widetilde{\theta}} \in \Sigma^-$, set

$$d(\underline{\theta}, \underline{\widetilde{\theta}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta_0 \neq \widetilde{\theta}_0; \\ |I(\underline{\theta} \wedge \underline{\widetilde{\theta}})| & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $\underline{\theta} \wedge \underline{\widetilde{\theta}} = (\theta_{-n}, \ldots, \theta_0)$ if $\widetilde{\theta}_{-j} = \theta_{-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_{-n-1} \neq \theta_{-n-1}$.

Now, let $\underline{\theta} \in \Sigma^-$; for $n > 0$, let $\underline{\theta}^n = (\theta_{-n}, \ldots, \theta_0)$, and let $B(\underline{\theta}^n)$ be the affine map from

$I(\underline{\theta}^n)$ onto $I(\theta_0)$ such that the diffeomorphism $k_n^{\underline{\theta}} = B(\underline{\theta}^n) \circ f_{\underline{\theta}^n}$ is orientation preserving.

We have the following well-known result (cf. [Sul]):

Proposition. *Let $r \in (1, +\infty)$, $g \in \Omega_\Sigma^r$.*

1. *For any $\underline{\theta} \in \Sigma^-$, there is a diffeomorphism $k^{\underline{\theta}} \in Diff_+^r(I(\theta_0))$ such that $k_n^{\underline{\theta}}$ converge to $k^{\underline{\theta}}$ in $Diff_+^{r'}(I(\theta_0))$, for any $r' < r$, uniformly in $\underline{\theta}$. The convergence is also uniform in a neighborhood of g in Ω_Σ^r.*

2. *If r is an integer, or $r = +\infty$, $k_n^{\underline{\theta}}$ converge to $k^{\underline{\theta}}$ in $Diff_+^r(I(\theta_0))$. More precisely, for every $0 \leq j \leq r-1$, there is a constant C_j (independent on $\underline{\theta}$) such that*

$$\left|D^j \log D\left[k_n^{\underline{\theta}} \circ (k^{\underline{\theta}})^{-1}\right](x)\right| \leq C_j |I(\underline{\theta}^n)|.$$

It follows that $\underline{\theta} \to k^{\underline{\theta}}$ is Lipschitz in the following sense: for $\theta_0 = \widetilde{\theta}_0$, we have

$$\left|D^j \log D\left[k^{\widetilde{\underline{\theta}}} \circ (k^{\underline{\theta}})^{-1}\right](x)\right| \leq C_j \, d(\underline{\theta}, \widetilde{\underline{\theta}}).$$

Let $r \in (1, +\infty]$. For $a \in \mathbb{A}$, denote by $\mathcal{P}^r(a)$ the space of C^r-embeddings of $I(a)$ into $\mathbb{R}$, endowed with the C^r topology. The affine group $Aff(\mathbb{R})$ acts by composition on the left on $\mathcal{P}^r(a)$, the quotient space being denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^r(a)$. We also consider $\mathcal{P}(a) = \bigcup_{r>1} \mathcal{P}^r(a)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(a) = \bigcup_{r>1} \overline{\mathcal{P}}^r(a)$, endowed with the inductive limit topologies.

Remark 8. *In [MY01] is considered $\mathcal{P}^r(a)$ for $r \in (1, +\infty]$, but all the definitions and results involving $\mathcal{P}^r(a)$ can be obtained considering $r \in [1, +\infty]$.*

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\underline{\theta}, A)$, where $\underline{\theta} \in \Sigma^-$ and A is now an *affine* embedding of $I(\theta_0)$ into $\mathbb{R}$. We have a canonical map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\to \mathcal{P}^r = \bigcup_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{P}^r(a) \\ (\underline{\theta}, A) &\mapsto A \circ k^{\underline{\theta}} \ (\in \mathcal{P}^r(\theta_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Now assume we are given two sets of data $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \Sigma, g)$, $(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}', \Sigma', g')$ defining regular Cantor sets K, K'.
We define as in the previous the spaces $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{P}(a)$ and $\mathcal{P}' = \bigcup_{\mathbb{A}'} \mathcal{P}(a')$.
A pair (h, h'), $(h \in \mathcal{P}(a), h' \in \mathcal{P}'(a'))$ is called a *smooth configuration* for $K(a) = K \cap I(a)$, $K'(a') = K' \cap I(a')$. Actually, rather than working in the product $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}'$, it is better to go to the quotient Q by the diagonal action of the affine group $Aff(\mathbb{R})$. Elements of Q are called *smooth relative configurations* for $K(a)$, $K'(a')$.
We say that a smooth configuration $(h, h') \in \mathcal{P}(a) \times \mathcal{P}(a')$ is

- *linked* if $h(I(a)) \cap h'(I(a')) \neq \emptyset$;

- *intersecting* if $h(K(\underline{a})) \cap h'(K(\underline{a}')) \neq \emptyset$, where $K(\underline{a}) = K \cap I(\underline{a})$ and $K(\underline{a}') = K \cap I(\underline{a}')$;
- *stably intersecting* if it is still intersecting when we perturb it in $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}'$, and we perturb (g, g') in $\Omega_\Sigma \times \Omega_{\Sigma'}$.

All these definitions are invariant under the action of the affine group, and therefore make sense for smooth relative configurations.

As in previous, we can introduce the spaces $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$ associated to the limit geometries of g, g', respectively. We denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the quotient of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$ by the diagonal action on the left of the affine group. An element of $\mathcal{C}$, represented by $(\underline{\theta}, A) \in \mathcal{A}$, $(\underline{\theta}', A') \in \mathcal{A}'$, is called a relative configuration of the limit geometries determined by $\underline{\theta}$, $\underline{\theta}'$. We have canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}' &\to \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}' \\ \mathcal{C} &\to Q \end{aligned}$$

which allow to define linked, intersecting, and stably intersecting configurations at the level of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$ or $\mathcal{C}$.

Remark: For a configuration $((\underline{\theta}, A), (\underline{\theta}', A'))$ of limit geometries, one could also consider the *weaker* notion of stable intersection, obtained by considering perturbations of g, g' in $\Omega_\Sigma \times \Omega_{\Sigma'}$ and perturbations of $(\underline{\theta}, A)$, $(\underline{\theta}', A')$ in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$. We do not know of any example of expansive maps g, g', and configurations $(\underline{\theta}, A)$, $(\underline{\theta}', A')$ which are stably intersecting in the weaker sense but not in the stronger sense.

We consider the following subset V of $\Omega_\Sigma \times \Omega_{\Sigma'}$. A pair (g, g') belongs to V if for any $[(\underline{\theta}, A), (\underline{\theta}', A')] \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$ there is a translation R_t (in $\mathbb{R}$) such that $(R_t \circ A \circ k^{\underline{\theta}}, A' \circ k'^{\underline{\theta}'})$ is a stably intersecting configuration.

Definition 5. *We say that a pair (ψ, Λ), where Λ is a horseshoe for ψ, has the property V if the stable and unstable cantor sets has the property V in the above sense.*

Sergio Augusto Romaña Ibarra
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Av. Athos da Silveira Ramos 149, Centro de Tecnologia - Bloco C - Cidade Universitária - Ilha do Fundão, cep 21941-909
Rio de Janeiro-Brasil
E-mail: sergiori@im.ufrj.br

References

[AMU14] M. Artigiani, L. Marchese, and C. Ulcigrai. The lagrange spectrum of a veech surface has a hall ray. arXiv:1409.7023v2[math.DS], September 2014.

[Bes07] M. Bessa. The lyapunov exponents of generic zero divergence three-dimensional vector fields. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys*, 27:1445–1472, 2007.

[CF89] T. W. Cusick and M. E. Flahive. *The Markoff and Lagrange Spectra*. Math surveys and Monographs. No 30, A.M.S., providence, RI, 1989.

[CO15] F. Castro and F. Oliveira. On the transitivity of invariant manifolds of conservative flows. arXiv:1503.00182v2 [math.DS], March 2015.

[Dan86] S.G. Dani. Bounded orbits of flows on homogeneous spaces. *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 61:636–660, 1986.

[DV89] M.M. Dodson and J.A.G. Vicker. *Number theory and Dynamical Systems*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 134 , Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[Fre75] G. A. Freiman. Diophantine aproximation and the geometry of numbers (Markov problem). *Kalinin. Gosudarstv. Univ. Kalink*, 1975.

[Haa86] A. Haas. Diophantine approximation on hyperbolic surfaces. *Acta Math*, 156:33–82, 1986.

[Hal47] Marshall Hall. On the sum and product of continued fractions. *Annals of Math.*, 48:996–993, 1947.

[HMU12] P. Hubert, L. Marchese, and C. Ulcigrai. Lagrange spectra in Teicmuller dynamics via renormalization. arXiv:1209.0183v1[math.Ds], September 2012.

[HP75] Morris W. Hirsch and Charles C. Pugh. Smoothness horocycle foliations. *J. Differential Geometry*, 10:225–238, 1975.

[HP02] S. Hersonsky and F. Paulin. Diophantine approximation for negatively curved manifolds. *Math. Z*, 241:181–226, 2002. MR1930990.

[HS86] A. Haas and C. Series. The Hurwitz constant and Diophantine approximation on Hecke groups. *J. Lond. Math*, 34:219–234, 1986.

[KH95] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[MRn13] Carlos Moreira and Sergio Romaña. On the lagrange and markov dynamical spectra. *submitted*, or see for instance, http://arxiv-web3.library.cornell.edu/abs/1310.3903, 2013.

[MY01] Carlos Gustavo Moreira and Jean-Christophe Yoccoz. Some fundamental properties of plane sets of fractional dimension. *Annals of Mathematics*, 154:45–96, 2001.

[MY10] Carlos Gustavo Moreira and Jean-Christophe Yoccoz. Tangencies homoclines stables pour des ensembles hyperboliques de grande dimension fractale. *Annales Scientifiques de L' école Normale Supérieure*, 43(4):1–68, 2010.

[Pla72] Joseph F. Plante. Anosov flows. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 94(3):729–754, jul 1972.

[PP10] Jouni Parkkonen and Frédéric Paulin. Prescribing the behavior of geodesic in negative curvature. *Geometry & Topology*, 14:277–392, 2010.

[PT93] J. Palis and F. Takens. *Hyperbolicity & sensitive chaotic dynamiscs at homoclinic bifurcations*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 35, 1993.

[RnM15] Sergio Romaña and Carlos Moreira. On the lagrange and markov dynamical spectra for geodesic flows in surfaces with negative curvature. *submitted*, or see for instance, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.05178v1.pdf, 2015.

[SS97] Thomas A. Schmidt and Mark Sheingorn. Riemann surface have Hall rays at each cusp. *Illions journal of Mathematics*, 41(3), 1997.

[Sul] D Sullivan. Differentiable structures on fractal-like sets, determined by intrinsic scalins functions on dual Cantor sets. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., A.M.S., Providence, RI, 1988*, 48(4):15–23.

[Urb91] M. Urbański. The hausdorff dimension of the set of points with nondense orbit under a hyperbolic dynamical system. *Nonlinearity*, 4(2), 1991.